

American Society of Hematology Helping hematologists conquer blood diseases worldwide



Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Correlates From the Phase 1 Study of Camidanlumab Tesirine (Cami) in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Hodgkin Lymphoma and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

Joseph Boni,¹ Karin Havenith,² Mehdi Hamadani,³ Paolo Caimi,⁴ Katie Anderson,² Tim Kopotsha,² Hans G. Cruz,⁵ Jens Wuerthner⁵

¹Clinical Development, ADC Therapeutics America, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ, USA; ²Clinical Research, ADC Therapeutics (UK) Ltd, London, UK; ³Division of Hematology and Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; ⁴University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center/Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁵Clinical Development, ADC Therapeutics SA, Epalinges, Switzerland

Poster slides, 62nd ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition, Virtual Meeting, December 5–8, 2020 Poster Session III, Monday, December 7, 2020: 7:00 am – 3:30 pm (Pacific Time)

Background

Camidanlumab tesirine (Cami)

 An ADC comprising a human mAb, HuMax[®]-TAC, directed against human CD25, stochastically conjugated to a PBD dimer warhead

Mechanism of action^{1–3}

- Death of CD25-positive tumor cells
- Depletion of CD25-positive T cells
- Possible bystander killing of CD25-negative cells



1. Hartley JA. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2011;20:733–44; 2. Flynn MJ, et al. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2016;15:2709–21; 3. Zammarchi F, et al. *J ImmunoTher Cancer* 2020;8:e000860. **ADC**, antibody-drug conjugate; **mAb**, monoclonal antibody; **PBD**, pyrrolobenzodiazepine.

Study Methods and Objectives

Phase 1, first-in-human, open-label, single-arm, dose-escalation^a, dose-expansion trial (NCT02432235) of patients ≥18 years of age with R/R cHL or NHL receiving Cami in doses of 3–150 µg/kg IV Q3W^b

- The two recommended doses for expansion in the cHL population were 30 and 45 $\mu g/kg$

Primary objectives:

Characterize Cami

safety and tolerability,

and determine MTD

Secondary and exploratory objectives:

- Evaluate antitumor activity of Cami, including ORR and DoR
- PK profile of Cami, PBD-cAb^c, tAb^d, and unconjugated warhead, SG3199
- ADA response in serum
- PK/PD correlates to:
 - sCD25 concentrations
 - time course of peripheral B cells, NK cells, T-cell subsets (T_{eff} , T_{reg}), and T_{eff} : T_{reg} ratio
 - IHC on archival or pre-treatment tumor biopsies for CD25 expression

Here, we report PK/PD correlates using data from 133 patients (77 with R/R cHL, 56 with R/R NHL)

^aConducted per continual reassessment method; ^bOne patient received an unplanned dose of 300 μ g/kg for the first dose but continued in the study with subsequent dosing as planned at 30 μ g/kg; ^cDAR \geq 1; ^dDAR \geq 0. **ADA**, anti-drug antibody; **cAb**, conjugated antibody; **cHL**, classical Hodgkin lymphoma; **DAR**, drug-to-antibody ratio; **DoR**, duration of response; **IHC**, immunohistochemistry; **IV**, intravenous; **MTD**, maximum tolerated dose; **NHL**, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; **NK**, natural killer; **ORR**, overall response rate; **PBD**, pyrrolobenzodiazepine; **PD**, pharmacodynamic; **PK**, pharmacokinetic; **Q3W**, every 3 weeks; **R/R**, relapsed or refractory; **sCD25**, soluble CD25; **tAb**, total antibody; **T**_{eff}, effector T cell (CD8+); **T**_{reg}, regulatory T cell (CD25+/CD127_{low}/FoxP3+[CD3+/CD4+]).



Results: Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity

PK for 3–300 $\mu g/kg$ doses

- Mean exposures increased with dose
- CL for doses 30–80 μ g/kg relatively constant

PK for 45 µg/kg dose (Table)

- Good linker stability of immunoconjugate close similarity in cAb and tAb profiles
- By Cycle 2, similar respective exposures with lower inter-patient variability relative to Cycle 1
- Apparent half-life of cAb 2.69 days (CV=40.2%)
- No accumulation by end of 3-week cycle
- SG3199 levels below LLOQ

Immunogenicity

• No instances of positive ADA response

Data shown as geometric mean (geometric CV%)[n]. ${}^{a}AUC_{tau}$ for Cycle 2 observations.

ADA, anti-drug antibody; AI, accumulation index; AUC_{inf}, area under concentration-time curve from time zero to infinity (Cycle 1 in Table); AUC_{tau}, area under concentration-time curve over Q3W duration of dosing interval (Cycle 2 in Table); cAb, conjugated antibody; CL, apparent clearance; C_{max}, observed maximum concentration; CV, coefficient of variation; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; PBD, pyrrolobenzodiazepine; PK, pharmacokinetic; Q3W, every 3 weeks; tAb, total antibody; T_{half}, apparent terminal half-life; V_{ss}, apparent steady-state volume of distribution; "-", value not available.



Summary of PK Parameters in Serum Following Cami 45 μ g/kg Q3W							
Cycle	Analyte	C _{max} (µg/L)	AUC _{inf} a (day*ug/L)	T _{half} (day)	CL (L/day)	V _{ss} (L)	AI
1	PBD-cAb	648 (51.0) [41]	1846 (59.9) [29]	2.31 (45.5) [29]	1.68 (50.3) [29]	5.08 (23.3) [29]	-
	tAb	803 (54.4) [41]	3001 (75.0) [23]	2.62 (44.1) [23]	1.29 (64.0) [23]	4.57 (26.9) [23]	-
	SG3199	0.0120 (8.04) [5]	-	-	-	-	-
2	PBD-cAb	808 (55.8) [35]	2183 (61.6) [33]	2.69 (40.2) [30]	1.37 (55.3) [33]	4.91 (29.9) [30]	1.01 (1.48) [30]
	tAb	1040 (60.0) [35]	3604 (68.9) [33]	3.26 (38.0) [33]	1.03 (61.2) [33]	4.54 (36.0) [33]	1.02 (2.59) [33]
	SG3199	0.0170 (46.9) [3]	-	-	-	-	-

Results: Modulation of Lymphocyte Populations Post-Dosing

- Lymphocyte populations including total lymphocytes, CD8+ and CD4+ T cells showed transient increases following dosing (Figure)
- Similar pattern seen for CD3+ T cells and CD16+/CD56+ NK cell subsets
- Modulations by time were similar for 30 and 45 μg/kg doses
- No difference by response group at 30 μg/kg; the low rate of non-responders precluded comparison for the 45 μg/kg dose



Dashed vertical lines in figure denote planned dosing event. Available paired lymphocyte and response data for 45 µg/kg predominantly limited to patients achieving CR or PR. CR, complete response; NE, not evaluable; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; Q3W, every 3 weeks; SD, stable disease.

Results: T_{reg} Counts and T_{eff}:T_{reg} Ratios Post-Dosing

- Small absolute decreases in T_{reg} cell counts over time seen following the 30 and 45 μg/kg doses (Figure)
- No distinction by best overall clinical response (CR + PR vs. non-responders)
- Most patients had clear increases in T_{eff}:T_{reg} ratios over time; greater effects seen in Cycle 2 relative to Cycle 1



Dashed vertical lines in figure denote planned dosing event. Available paired T_{reg} and response data for 45 µg/kg predominantly limited to patients achieving CR or PR. **CR**, complete response; **NE**, not evaluable; **PD**, progressive disease; **PR**, partial response; **SD**, stable disease; T_{eff} , effector T cell (CD8+); T_{reg} , regulatory T cell (CD25+/CD127_{low}/FoxP3+[CD3+/CD4+]).

Results: CD25 Expression and Response

- With the 45 µg/kg dose, mean sCD25 concentrations displayed apparent decreases from baseline over time
- In cHL, higher baseline sCD25 levels associated with lower Cami exposure in responders and non-responders
- Clustering suggested with sCD25 concentrations <~10,000 ng/L at baseline, particularly for patients with CR (Figure)
- Data from IHC on tumor biopsies^a for CD25 expression showed no relationship between CD25 histoscore and clinical response

PBD-cAb AUC (Cycle 1) vs. Baseline sCD25 by Best Overall Response in Patients with cHL



Data derived from non-compartmental analysis. ^aArchival or pre-treatment biopsies.

AUC, area under the curve; cAb, conjugated Ab; cHL, classical Hodgkin lymphoma; CR, complete response; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NE, not evaluable; PBD, pyrrolobenzodiazepine; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; sCD25, soluble CD25; SD, stable disease.



Conclusions

- These data suggest patients with cHL achieving complete response have higher exposure to Cami
- Higher exposure appears to result from lower baseline sCD25 and possibly lower tumor burden
- Exposure at the 45 μ g/kg dose was associated with:
 - cycle-related modulation in circulating T_{regs}
 - increased T_{eff} : T_{reg} ratios, thought to favor disease response
- T_{reg} modulation was seen with all populations and these data support further study of T-cell subpopulations in patients treated with Cami

 $\textbf{cHL}, \textbf{classical Hodgkin lymphoma; sCD25}, \textbf{soluble CD25}; \textbf{T}_{eff}, effector T cell; \textbf{T}_{reg}, regulatory T cell.$



Disclosures and Acknowledgments

J. Boni: employee of ADC Therapeutics America, Inc., with ownership interests

K. Havenith, K. Anderson and T. Kopotsha: employees of ADC Therapeutics (UK) Ltd with ownership interests

H. G. Cruz and J. Wuerthner: employees of ADC Therapeutics SA, with ownership interests

M. Hamadani: provided consultancy services to Janssen R&D, Incyte Corporation, ADC Therapeutics, Celgene Corporation, Pharmacyclics, Omeros, AbGenomics, Verastem, and TeneoBio; has received research funding from Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, and Astellas Pharma; and has membership on a board of directors, speaker bureau, or advisory committee for Sanofi Genzyme, AstraZeneca, and ADC Therapeutics (advisory board agreement)

P. Caimi: has received research funding from and has an advisory board agreement with ADC Therapeutics

This study is funded by ADC Therapeutics SA (NCT02432235)

Acknowledgments

- The authors would like to thank all the participating patients and their families, all study co-investigators and research coordinators
- Editorial support was provided by Heather St Michael of Fishawack Communications Ltd, part of Fishawack Health, funded by ADC Therapeutics

